

July 7, 2008, added Appendix A

## Analytic Functions of a General Matrix Variable

Charles Schwartz\*

*Department of Physics, University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720*

### Abstract

Recent innovations on the differential calculus for functions of non-commuting variables, begun for a quaternionic variable, are now extended to the case of a general matrix over the complex numbers. The expansion of  $F(X+\Delta)$  is given to first order in  $\Delta$  for general matrix variables  $X$  and  $\Delta$  that do not commute with each other.

---

\*E-mail: [schwartz@physics.berkeley.edu](mailto:schwartz@physics.berkeley.edu)

# 1 Introduction

In a recent paper [1] I showed how to expand

$$F(x + \delta) = F(x) + F^{(1)}(x) + O(\delta^2) \quad (1.1)$$

when both  $x$  and  $\delta$  were general quaternionic variables, thus did not commute with each other:

$$F^{(1)}(x) = F'(x)\delta_1 + [F(x) - F(x^*)](x - x^*)^{-1} \delta_2, \quad \delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2, \quad (1.2)$$

with specific formulas on how to construct the two components of  $\delta$ .

Now we shall extend that analysis to a more general situation.

Consider the  $N \times N$  matrices  $X$  over the complex numbers and arbitrary analytic functions  $F(X)$  with such a matrix as its variable. We seek a general construction for the first order term  $F^{(1)}(X)$  when we expand  $F(X + \Delta)$  given that  $\Delta$  is small but still a general  $N \times N$  matrix that does not commute with  $X$ .

The first step, as before, is to represent the function  $F$  as a Fourier transform,

$$F(X) = \int dp f(p) e^{ipX} \quad (1.3)$$

where the integral may go along any specified contour in the complex  $p$ -plane; and then we also make use of the expansion,

$$e^{(X+\Delta)} = e^X [1 + \int_0^1 ds e^{-sX} \Delta e^{sX} + O(\Delta^2)]. \quad (1.4)$$

Another well-known expansion, relevant to what we see in (1.4), is

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{3!}[A, [A, [A, B]]] + \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

involving repeated use of the commutators,  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ .

## 2 Diagonalization

The first step is to assume that we can find a matrix  $S$  that will diagonalize the matrix  $X$  at any given point in the space of such matrices.

$$A = S X S^{-1}, \quad A_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \lambda_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N \quad (2.1)$$

and we carry out the same transformation on the matrix  $\Delta$ :

$$B = S \Delta S^{-1} \quad (2.2)$$

but, of course, the matrix  $B$  will not be diagonal.

Our task is to separate the matrix  $B$  into separate parts, each of which will behave simply in the expansion of Eq. (1.5). The first step is to recognize that the diagonal part of the matrix  $B$ , call it  $B_0$ , commutes with the diagonal matrix  $A$  and thus we have

$$e^{tA} B_0 e^{-tA} = B_0, \quad (2.3)$$

where we have  $t = -isp$  from (1.3), (1.4).

Next we look separately at each off-diagonal element of the matrix  $B$ : that is,  $B_{(i,j)}$  is the matrix that has only that one off-diagonal ( $i \neq j$ ) element of the given matrix  $B$ , and all the rest are zeros. The first commutator is simply

$$[A, B_{(i,j)}] = r_{ij} B_{(i,j)}, \quad r_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j \quad (2.4)$$

and then the whole series can be summed:

$$e^{tA} B_{(i,j)} e^{-tA} = e^{tr_{ij}} B_{(i,j)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Putting this all together, we have

$$S F^{(1)} S^{-1} = \int dp f(p) ip e^{ipA} \int_0^1 ds [B_0 + \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-isp r_{ij}} B_{(i,j)}]. \quad (2.6)$$

It is trivial to carry out the integrals over  $s$ ; and we thus come to the final answer

$$F^{(1)}(X) = F'(X) \Delta_0 + \sum_{i \neq j} [F(X) - F(X - r_{ij}I)] r_{ij}^{-1} \Delta_{(i,j)} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\Delta_\mu \equiv S^{-1} B_\mu S, \quad \mu = 0, (i, j). \quad (2.8)$$

### 3 Discussion

The general structure of the result, Eq.(2.7), is similar to what we found in earlier work, Eq.(1.2): the first term  $F'(X)$  looks like ordinary differential calculus and goes with that part of  $\Delta$  that commutes with the local

coordinate  $X$ ; the remaining terms are non-local, involving the function  $F$  evaluated at discrete points separated from  $X$  by specific multiples of the unit matrix  $I$ .

While this final formula appears not as explicit as the previous result found for quaternionic variables (or for variables based upon the algebra of  $SU(2)$ ), in any practical situation we have computer programs that can calculate the matrix operations referred to above with great efficiency.

The quantities  $r_{ij}$ , which may be real or complex numbers, can be called the “roots” following the familiar treatment of Lie algebras. They have some properties, such as  $r_{ij} = -r_{ji}$  and sum rules that involve traces of the original matrix  $X$  and powers of  $X$ .

What happens if the eigenvalues of  $X$  are degenerate? Suppose, for example, that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . This means that  $r_{12}$  and  $r_{21}$  are zero. If we look at Eq. (2.7), we see that the terms  $\Delta_{(1,2)}$  and  $\Delta_{(2,1)}$  then have the coefficient  $F'(x)$ . Thus they simply add in with  $\Delta_0$ . In the extreme case when all the eigenvalues are identical, then the answer is  $F(X + \Delta) = F(X) + F'(X) \Delta + O(\Delta^2)$ , which is old fashioned differential calculus for commuting variables.

## Appendix A

A more abstract form of the result Eq. (2.7) is the following.

$$F^{(1)}(X) = F'(X) \Delta_0 + [C, F(X)], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$[C, X] = \Delta - \Delta_0, \quad [\Delta_0, X] = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here the matrix  $C$  is defined implicitly, through its commutator with  $X$ , rather than explicitly; and the matrix  $\Delta_0$  is the same as previously discussed. One may readily confirm the correctness of this formula in the case of  $F(X) = X^n$ .

This alternative formalism may also be applied to the case of a quaternionic variable  $x$ , which was studied in reference [1]. In that case we find  $\Delta_0 = \delta_1$  and  $C = \frac{1}{4r^2}[x, \delta]$ .

It is interesting that this new formalism manages to hide the non-locality, which was a prominent feature of the original analysis.

## References

- [1] C. Schwartz, arXiv:0803.3782 [math.FA]